



**SUMMARY OF ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED AT
THE TWENTY-SEVENTH MEETING OF THE
CITES PLANTS COMMITTEE**
GENEVA, SWITZERLAND 8-13 JULY 2024

All meeting documents prepared by the CITES Secretariat unless otherwise indicated.

SC=Standing Committee AC=Animals Committee PC=Plants Committee RC=Resolution Conf. CoP=Conference of the Parties

▶▶ indicates document for joint sessions of AC33 and PC27

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
1. Declaration of conflict of interest		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
2. Rules of Procedure (RoP) PC27 Doc. 2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides RoP as amended at PC24. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
3. Agenda PC27 Doc. 3		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides the provisional agenda of the meeting for consideration and adoption. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
4. Working programme PC27 Doc. 4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides the provisional working programme of the meeting for consideration and adoption. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
5. Admission of observers PC27 Doc. 5		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not available at the time this document was prepared. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
6. Plants Committee strategic planning for 2023–2025 (CoP19–CoP20)			
6.1	Implementation of the workplan for 2023-2025 PC27 Doc. 6.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides Resolutions(Annex 1) and Decisions (Annex 2) and associated PC agenda item or next steps. Invites PC to note the document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends PC note the document.
6.2	Preparation of the report of the Chair of the PC for CoP20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
▶▶ 7. CITES Strategic Vision PC27 Doc. 7/ AC33 Doc. 9		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision 19.14 directs SC, in consultation with AC/PC Chairs, to make recommendations on new or revised indicators for objective 1.4 of the CITES Strategic Vision for consideration at CoP20. SC77 agreed to Indicator 1.4.1.¹ SC77 invited Secretariat with AC/PC Chairs, to revise the following Indicators: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.4.2 The number and proportion of species listed in the Appendices identified as likely to be threatened by 	SSN recommends that AC/PC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support the amended indicator proposed by Nigeria at SC77 as outlined in paragraph 7 of the document; we agree with Nigeria that an indicator for CITES Strategic Vision Objective 1.4 should evaluate whether CITES is keeping pace with the scale of wildlife trade by looking at unlisted species in addition to those already listed and should consider relevant sources of information in addition to the IUCN Red List.

¹ The number and proportion of species listed in Appendices that have been found to meet the criteria for each Appendix contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) or its successors as part of the Periodic Review process or of amendment proposals.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<p>international trade on the basis of information in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1.4.3 The number and proportion of species listed in the Appendices identified as unlikely to be threatened by international trade on the basis of information in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species • Provides comments from Parties including recommendation from Nigeria: 1.4.2 “The number and proportion of species listed in the Appendices identified as likely to be threatened by international trade 1) <u>threatened with extinction that are or may be affected by trade; or 2) not yet threatened with extinction but may become so if not regulated by CITES</u>, on the basis of information in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species <u>and/or relevant sources, that are included in the CITES Appendices</u>” • Provides information on the number and proportion of Red List species included in the CITES Appendices (Annex); and proposes two ways of utilizing the IUCN Red List – either consideration of “internationally traded species” and “CITES vs Non-CITES species”. • Invites AC/PC to provide advice to the Secretariat on its proposed indicators for objective 1.4. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN notes that Oxford University, IUCN, and UNEP-WCMC have already formulated a methodology for collecting the data necessary to measure against this proposed indicator.² This methodology is also under consideration by the SC Working Group on <i>Identifying information on species at risk of extinction affected by international trade</i> (see document PC27 Doc. 24 / AC33 Doc. 29) • With respect to Paragraph 13(a), agree that any proposed indicator should reflect the CITES listing criteria, which inquire whether trade “is or may be” affecting the species rather than whether international trade is “significant”.
<p>8. Cooperation with Multilateral Environmental Agreements and other international organizations</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>9. Cooperation with the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation (GSPC)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 9</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted by the co-leads of the implementation of Decision 19.22 and 19.23 on <i>Cooperation with the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation</i>. • Provides a revised RC 16.5 on <i>Cooperation with the GSPC of the Convention on Biological Diversity</i> (Annex) for consideration at CoP20. • Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, consider the draft revisions and make recommendations to SC78. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that PC consider the draft revisions; however, any recommendations should focus strictly on CITES core functions rather than on issues (such as access to genetic resources, p. 13) that are better considered by other conventions such as the CBD.
<p>►► 10. IPBES Report on the Assessment of the Sustainable Use of Wild Species</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 10 / AC33 Doc. 11</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. • Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A list of ‘scientific aspects’ in Chapter 3 and 4 of the assessment relevant to CITES implementation (Annex 1); ▪ Relevant CITES Resolutions (Annex 2); and ▪ A summary of items referred to SC (Annex 3), including, <i>inter alia</i>, concerns that the implementation of the Review of Significant Trade could fail to prevent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that AC/PC adopt recommendations 13 a and c. • With regard to Recommendation 13 b on aspects referred to SC, SSN recommends the following amendments to Annex 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regarding the Review of Significant Trade, AC/PC should encourage SC to establish a formal mechanism by which AC/PC can bring implementation and enforcement concerns to the attention of the SC; ▪ Delete paragraph 2) ii “Unintended outcomes:

² Challender, D.W.S., Cremona, P.J., Malsch, K. *et al.* Identifying species likely threatened by international trade on the IUCN Red List can inform CITES trade measures. *Nat Ecol Evol* 7, 1211–1220 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02115-8>.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<p>trade not compliant with the provisions of the Convention if effective enforcement is lacking; and concerns about increased demand linked to proposals to list species ('panic-buys' prior to listings coming into effect).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Invites AC/PC to provide the results of their review to SC and agree that the related Decision has been implemented. 	<p>a. Increased demand (linked to proposals to list species)"; few verifiable examples exist; proposing listings is a sovereign right of the Parties. SSN believes that rather than discouraging needed listings, the Parties should consider on a case by case basis the need to mitigate potential effects of "panic-buys".</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regarding the role of CITES in addressing sustainable use, SSN notes the new NDF guidance developed under the CITES NDF project, recommends that AC/PC encourage the Parties to consider such guidance and ensure their NDF decision-making is based on robust science using the best available information, and recommends that AC/PC encourage Parties to submit NDFs to the NDF database to facilitate transparency and knowledge sharing.
<p>►► 11. World Wildlife Trade Report</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 11</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a summary of responses received from a request (via Notification) for feedback on the report. Invites AC/PC to review the feedback and provide "scientific advice" to SC on the "potential scientific utility and drawbacks" of producing a World Wildlife Trade Report on international trade in animal and plant species that are included in the CITES Appendices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN urges AC/PC recommend to SC that production of the report not be pursued as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited resources are available and the Committees and Secretariat already are overburdened; higher-priority workstreams that directly enhance implementation and enforcement of the Convention should be given precedence; Lack of added value: production of the report did/does not contribute to the conservation of species in trade or enhance the work of the scientific committees; The report is biased towards promoting positive impacts of trade and does not sufficiently address the negative impacts of international trade on wildlife species and ecosystems; Examination of the impact of CITES trade on socio-economic factors extends beyond the mandate of CITES and is better done at the national level. SSN agrees with the United States that there is a fundamental need to improve the quality of CITES data, and that this can only be achieved by capacity-building for the Parties.
<p>12. CITES and forests</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 12</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides the terms of reference for the report on the interdisciplinary study on CITES and forests; and reports that the Secretariat will provide an oral report on the document at PC27 and will circulate a preliminary findings for feedback from the PC in time for SC78. Invites PC to provide feedback on progress made and consider the proposal for PC to provide advice on the preliminary results of the study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC note progress made and provide advice on preliminary results of the study, but notes that this item remains controversial and that resources might be better directed to supporting the CITES Tree Species Programme (see Item 14).
<p>►► 13. Country-wide Significant Trade Review</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that AC/PC adopt the recommendations provided.

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
PC27 Doc. 13 / AC33 Doc. 13		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reports that the development of an integrated capacity-building framework remains ongoing, and it is not yet possible to determine if this will sufficiently address the scientific and management issues identified in the country-wide Review of Significant Trade (RST) for Madagascar. • Invites AC/PC to adopt draft Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>,: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Direct Secretariat to provide targeted capacity-building support at a national level to Parties currently subject to recommendations under the RST, including the application of the new NDF Guidance; and ▪ Direct AC/PC to consider whether a complementary new mechanism should be developed to provide targeted support to Parties with recurring issues in making NDFs for multiple species as highlighted through the RST process and on the possible role of the scientific committees in facilitating this; and provide recommendations, including possible amendments to RC 12.8 (Rev. CoP18) on <i>Review of Significant Trade in specimens of Appendix-II species</i> or other existing resolutions. • Invites AC/PC to note conclusions and propose draft Decisions to SC. 	
14. CITES Tree Species Programme PC27 Doc. 14		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides an update on related activities, including funding received. • “Regarding the implementation of Decision 19.50, paragraph b), further consideration is needed to assess whether the CITES Tree Species Programme (CTSP) could be made a permanent programme,” • “With the implementation of Decision 19.32 on CITES and forests and Decision 19.41 on Capacity-building in progress, there is a possibility that future tree-related projects may serve as one of the funding mechanisms in support of CITES and forests, the capacity-building framework, or other programmatic approach or strategy.” • Invites PC to delete Decision 19.49 and portions of Decision 19.50 (para. a) and c)); remaining text is limited to consideration of whether CITES Tree Species Programme should be permanent programme. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that PC should not agree to measures that would subordinate the CTSP to the proposed CITES and Forests Programme, as the CTSP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Has a proven track record of success and significant support from the Parties while the CITES and Forests Programme has not been agreed to and remains controversial; and ▪ Is of value in itself, has received broad support from the Parties, and is much closer to the mandate of CITES than the CITES and Forests Programme.
15. Review of Significant Trade in specimens of Appendix-II species			
15.1	Overview of the Review of Significant Trade PC27 Doc. 15.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides an update on progress in implementing the Review (Annex 1) and species/country combinations currently in the Review (Annex 2). • Invites PC to note this document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that PC note the document.
15.2	Implementation of	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides draft recommendations for two 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p>recommendations for species selected between CoP11 and CoP17</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 15.2</p>	<p>species/country combinations in the Review (Annex).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reports that, <i>inter alia</i>,: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Pericopsis elata</i> / Republic of the Congo: has requested a quota of 4,812.918 m3 of logs but has not provided a NDF; Secretariat is liaising with Congo; ▪ <i>Dalbergia retusa</i> / Nicaragua: Nicaragua has received support from Germany to undertake a study that would allow it to make progress with recommendations. • Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, consider progress and make any additional recommendations to SC78. 	
<p>15.3</p> <p>Ongoing cases of species selected following CoP18 (<i>Pterocarpus erinaceus</i> as an exceptional case)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 15.3</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Updates on progress for eight range States included in this Review (Annex 1), including that a regional workshop will be held on NDFs and LAFs for this species 2-6 September in Douala, Cameroon; ▪ TOR for NDF for Gambia (Annex 2); ▪ Ghana's NDF (Annex 3); ▪ Response of PC North America and Europe Representatives to consultation on Ghana and Sierra Leone's NDF (Annex 4); ▪ Outline of Guinea-Bissau's NDF study (Annex 5); and ▪ Sierra Leone NDF (Annex 6). • Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress, and provide inputs on progress and make any additional recommendations for Secretariat report to SC78. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>15.4</p> <p>Species selected following CoP19</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 15.4</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regarding species selected at PC26, provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Responses of the range States (Annex 1); and ▪ UNEP-WCMC's species report (Annex 2) including recommended categorizations. • <i>Inter alia</i>, the report recommends that the following species/country combinations classifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 'Action is needed': <i>Dalbergia melanoxylon</i> / Mozambique; <i>Dalbergia tucurensis</i> / Nicaragua; <i>Guibourtia tessmannii</i> / Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea; <i>Osyris lanceolata</i> / Burundi, Ethiopia ; <i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> / Indonesia, Malaysia; <i>Gyrinops</i> spp. / Papua New Guinea; ▪ 'Less Concern': <i>Aquilaria crassna</i> / Viet Nam; ▪ 'Unknown Status': <i>Dalbergia melanoxylon</i> / Tanzania; ▪ 'Conditional': <i>Osyris lanceolata</i> / Uganda, Tanzania; <i>Gyrinops</i> spp. / Indonesia. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that AC agree to the recommendations in the species report with the exception of <i>A. crassna</i> / Viet Nam. • Regarding <i>A. crassna</i> / Viet Nam, SSN recommends that Viet Nam should be reclassified as 'unknown status' and should be requested to publish a zero quota for wild specimens as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The species is classified as Critically Endangered (IUCN 2017); ▪ While the report indicates that trade in wild specimens is prohibited, 80 kg of oil was reported exported in 2013 and importers report products of wild source.
<p>►► 16. Non-detriment findings</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 16/ AC33 Doc. 16</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the AC Chair and PC Vice-Chair. • Provides an update on related activities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report of final meetings of the Technical Advisory Group (TAG) of AC/PC to the CITES NDF project (Annexes 1 and 2); 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regarding module 4 on Appendix I species, the working group's draft Decision directing SC to propose guidance to the CoP on how scientific authorities of importing Parties should interpret and assess whether the import of specimen of Appendix I-listed species are for purposes which are not detrimental to the survival of the species involved; and ▪ Draft Decisions for development of a feedback mechanism to review and update NDF materials (Annex 4). ● Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress made and agree to forward draft Decisions to CoP20 	
<p>►► 17. Materials for the identification of specimens of CITES-listed species</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 17 / AC33 Doc. 19.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. ● Provides revised Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>, direct Secretariat to request information from the Parties on identifying species in need of identification materials; and AC/PC to review the materials and make recommendations regarding new material to be prioritized for development. ● Invites AC/PC to submit revised Decisions to CoP20. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that AC/PC agree to forward the draft Decisions to CoP20.
<p>18. Identification of timber and other wood products</p>		
<p>18.1 Report of the Secretariat</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 18.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reports that Secretariat will provide an update on maintenance and expansion of the repository on timber identification. ● Proposes that the Secretariat circulate any available preliminary findings to PC for consideration and reporting to SC78, and work with PC and SC Chairs on report for CoP20. ● Invites PC to consider the proposed approach. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
<p>18.2 Report of the intersessional working group</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 18.2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Submitted by Chair of the related intersessional working group. ● Provides summary of responses received (Annex 1) and responses received (Annexes 2-8) to a request for feedback on related Decisions relating to, <i>inter alia</i>, plans to prioritize id materials for CITES-listed tree species. ● Provides observations, including that, <i>inter alia</i>, assess available tools, synergies with others working on these issues, identification of experts, etc. ● Invites PC to consider the progress made, consider the need for any new or revised mandate on these issues, and make recommendations to SC78. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that the working group meet at SC27 and recommend renewal or revision of the associated Decisions.
<p>►► 19. Transport of live specimens</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides <i>Challenges and suggestions for implementing IATA LAR, IATA PCR, and CITES Guidelines for the Non-Air Transport of Live Wild Animals and Plants,</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that AC note the document and urges AC to recommend further review and revision of Resolution Conf. 10.21 (Rev. CoP19) and the guidelines

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p>PC27 Doc. 19 / AC33 Doc. 20</p>	<p><i>identified by participants</i> in an online workshop on transport of live specimens on 21 March 2024 (Annex).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Invites AC/PC to note this document and request results of the workshop to be posted on the CITES website. 	<p>on transport of live animals, taking into account the challenges identified in the Annex, in order to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CITES rules and guidance apply throughout transport of CITES specimens in international trade, including within member states; IATA LARs apply to any transport of CITES-listed live animals by air, including transport by non-IATA members; The CITES <i>Guidelines for the Non-Air Transport of Live Wild Animals and Plants</i> form the default minimum standards for non-air transport of live specimens and should apply at all stages of transport to ensure that CITES requirements are met, including those in Articles III, IV, V and VII, that living specimens are so prepared and shipped (and, under Article VII, cared for) as to minimize the risk of injury, damage to health or cruel treatment; Responsibility for ensuring all general and species-specific requirements are met at every stage of transport, including identification of local facilities with the expertise and resources to assist in the event of an emergency involving live animals; Welfare of transported animals is given primary consideration, including contingency plans should journeys be disrupted, or animals be refused entry into, or seized/confiscated by, an importing or transit country ; Transport of live animals mitigates the risk of stress and the potential for emergence and spillover of pathogens; Pre-transport risk assessments are a permitting requirement, and a precautionary approach is adopted for risks that cannot be mitigated, or where there is uncertainty; AC should develop guidance to assist Parties in the development, implementation and interpretation of such assessments; Transport only takes place if all required health certification, permits and certificates are in place, to minimize potential delays; AC should recommend that Parties use CCTV to monitor live animals during transport to ensure comprehensive records are available to enforcement authorities if required.
<p>►► 20. Specimens produced through biotechnology</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No document. 	
<p>►► 21. Review of CITES provisions related to trade in specimens of animals and plants not of wild source</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 21 / AC33 Doc. 26</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepared by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. Provides summaries of working group discussions (Annex 1); advice to be considered by SC (Annex 2); and responses to related Notification (Annex 3). Recommends that AC/PC: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that AC/PC adopt the recommendations. SSN recommends the AC/PC highlight for further consideration by the SC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The absence of tangible conservation benefits from many captive breeding/propagation facilities for wild

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Consider the information provided ▪ Provide Annex 2 to the related SC working group ▪ Agree that Decision 19.180 has been implemented 	<p>populations; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The conservation risks for wild populations through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Continued removal of wild-caught individuals to supplement captive populations, Laundering of wild-caught/collected specimens through captive production systems; –Increasing demand which may be supplied/filled with wild specimens; –Introduction of potentially invasive species and spread of diseases; and –Inappropriate application of source and purpose codes
<p>22. Guidance on the term ‘artificially propagated’</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 22</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A revision of the <i>Preliminary guidance on terms related to the artificial propagation of CITES regulated plants</i>; and ▪ Revisions to <i>A guide to the application of CITES source codes</i>, in particular where it relates to source code Y; and ▪ Draft Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>, direct the Secretariat to keep these documents under review as living documents, and Parties and PC to provide relevant feedback. ● Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, provide feedback on the Annexes, agree to submit the draft Decisions to CoP20 and agree to translation of the Annexes to all CITES working languages. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
<p>►► 23. Assessment of Appendix-I listed species</p>		
<p>►► 23.1 Report of the Secretariat</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 23.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides detailed assessments of ten species listed in Appendix I (Annex), including: <i>Caprolagus hispidus</i> (Assam rabbit), <i>Helarctos malayanus</i> (Malayan sun bear), <i>Hippotragus niger variani</i> (giant sable antelope), <i>Lontra longicaudis</i> (Neotropical river otter), <i>Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus</i> (hyacinth macaw), <i>Crocodylus siamensis</i> (Siamese crocodile), <i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i> (hawksbill turtle), <i>Gonatodes daudini</i> (Union Island gecko), <i>Aerangis ellisii</i>, and <i>Aloe pillansii</i> (bastard quiver tree aloe). ● Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress made, consider the need and merit of continuing the work on assessing Appendix-I listed species and make recommendations to CoP20 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that AC/PC note the progress made and move to bring this work to a close.
<p>►► 23.2 Report of the intersessional working group</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 23.2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. ● Provides a report on working group discussions. ● Invites AC/PC to consider substituting criterion 2.1, used in the original rapid assessment and that relied on information from IUCN that are no longer available, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● See ‘SSN recommendation’ for PC27 Doc. 23.1 / AC33 Doc. 28.1.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<p>with other criteria/information in the IUCN assessments; consider whether to include trade data on domestic and/or artificial propagation and captive-breeding information; and make recommendations to CoP20.</p>	
<p>►► 24. Identifying species at risk of extinction affected by international trade</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 24 / AC33 Doc. 29</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Co-Chairs of the related joint intersessional working group. • Provides observations and recommendations of the working group, including that RC 19.2 on <i>Capacity building</i> be amended to invite Parties to update the CITES website with relevant studies on species that are or may be affected by international trade, are at risk of extinction and are either not yet regulated under CITES or may receive insufficient CITES regulation. • Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, agree to submit the recommendations to the SC and propose deletion of related Decisions directed to AC/PC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSN recommends that AC/PC submit the recommendations to SC with the following amendments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Amend paragraph 9(e) to reference the methodology developed by Oxford University, IUCN and UNEP-WCMC for identifying species that are likely threatened by international trade on the IUCN Red List³ in filling the gap in capacity linked to the identification of species that may merit consideration under CITES; and ▪ Agree to the following alternative text as an amendment to RC 19.2 on <i>Capacity Building</i>: 4. DIRECTS the Secretariat to: <u>d) create and maintain a [page/section/database] on the CITES website where the information referred to in paragraph (2)(d) on the identification of species at risk of extinction that are not yet regulated under CITES or may receive insufficient CITES regulation and that are or may be affected by international trade can be uploaded and made available to Parties and stakeholders, and</u> <u>e) work with IUCN, UNEP-WCMC and other partners to create and routinely update a database providing information on species at risk of extinction that are or may be affected by trade on the CITES website following each CITES CoP to be published on the CITES website and made available to Parties.</u>
<p>25. Agarwood-producing taxa (<i>Aquilaria</i> spp. and <i>Gyrinops</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 25</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted by Chair of the related intersessional working group. • Provides extensive revisions to RC 16.10 on <i>Implementation of the Convention for agarwood-producing taxa</i>, including revised definitions of “under controlled conditions” and “artificially propagated” with respect to agarwood (Annexes 1 and 2). • Invites PC to consider the proposed revisions, agree that Decision 19.239 has been completed and can be proposed for deletion and report to SC78. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>26. <i>Boswellia</i> trees (<i>Boswellia</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 26</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted by the Chair of the intersessional working group on <i>Boswellia</i> trees (<i>Boswellia</i> spp.). • Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An update on discussions within the working group; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.

³ Challender, D.W.S., Cremona, P.J., Malsch, K. *et al.* Identifying species likely threatened by international trade on the IUCN Red List can inform CITES trade measures. *Nat Ecol Evol* 7, 1211–1220 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02115-8>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Draft Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>, direct Secretariat to organize an in-person meeting of stakeholders of <i>Boswellia</i> tree species; Range States to consider including native species of <i>Boswellia</i> spp. in Appendix III; and PC to review the <i>Boswellia</i> meeting outputs, formulate recommendations including whether certain species should be recommended for possible listing in the Appendices. ● Invites PC to delete related CoP19 Decisions, consider Draft Decisions and report to CoP20. 	
<p>27. Rosewood timber species [Leguminosae (Fabaceae)]</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 27</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides a report (and summary) on the conservation and trade of CITES-listed rosewood tree species (Annexes 1 and 2); a priority list of CITES-listed rosewoods (Annex 3); and draft Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>, direct Secretariat to revise the report in response to feedback from a Notification and PC to provide input on the revised report. ● Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, provide feedback on the report, submit the draft Decisions to CoP20 and provide relevant information on <i>Pterocarpus erinaceus</i> in relation to application of Article XIII to SC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
<p>28. Products containing specimens of Appendix-II orchids (Orchidaceae spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 28</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides the Terms of Reference for a study of the international trade in edible orchids (Annex 1), responses to a Notification on edible orchids (Annex 2), and draft Decisions (Annex 3) that, <i>inter alia</i>, encourage Parties to enhance their enforcement efforts for these species; direct Secretariat to provide study to PC28, support range States to carry out NDFs, compile identification tools for these species; and PC and SC to make recommendations to CoP21. ● Reports that of key trading countries with possibly regionally depleted tuberous orchid populations, only Tanzania responded to the Notification; few of the responses referred to finished products or derivatives; and patent applications for multiple high-end industrial products refer to tuberous orchid species. ● Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note the information provided, consider the draft Decisions and formulate recommendations for SC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No Comment
<p>29. Brazil wood (<i>Paubrasilia echinata</i>)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 29</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Secretariat will provide an oral report on a study regarding: a traceability system for the species; enforcement, stockpile management and capacity-building needs; and improved implementation of the Appendix II listing of the species. ● Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress made, advise the Secretariat on this project and agree that the Decision directing Secretariat to undertake the study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that PC adopt the recommendations.

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		be deleted.	
30. African tree species PC27 Doc. 30		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides an updated list of African tree species and associated CITES processes (Annex). Invites PC to agree to the list, request Secretariat to post it on the CITES website, encourage range States to advance the priorities and agree to delete related Decisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
31. Neotropical tree species PC27 Doc. 31		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted by the Chair of the related intersessional working group. Provides the summary of findings of the group. Invites PC to publish and findings of the group on the CITES website; consider the related Decisions to be fully implemented; and recommend that SC take note of irregular cross-border movements of specimens of <i>Abies guatemalensis</i> between Mexico and Guatemala. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
32. Trade in medicinal and aromatic plant species (MAP)			
32.1 Report of the Secretariat PC27 Doc. 32.1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports that final deliverables of a study of e-commerce supply chains in products of CITES-listed medicinal and aromatic plant species will be available 14 June (not available at the time this document was prepared). Invites PC to consider the study and provide recommendations to PC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC consider the study and provide recommendations to PC.
32.2 Report of the intersessional working group PC27 Doc. 32.2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted by the Chair of the related intersessional working group. Provides a draft Resolution on <i>Medicinal and aromatic plant species</i> (Annex). Invites PC to consider improvements to the draft Resolution and submit recommendations to SC and CoP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC consider improvements to the draft Resolution and submit it to SC and CoP19.
►► 33. Periodic review of species included in Appendices I and II			
33.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ►► Overview of species under review PC27 Doc. 33.1 / AC33 Doc. 45.1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides an overview of animal species in the periodic review process (RC 14.8 (Rev. CoP19), including species selected for review between CoP13 (2004) and CoP19 (2022) (Annexes 1A-1E on animal species; Annexes 2A-2D on plant species). Suggests that the review of <i>Pteropus tokudae</i> (Guam flying fox) be considered complete⁴ and recommends that certain animal and plant species be deleted from the Review as no volunteers have offered to undertake reviews. Invites AC/PC to note the document and agree to the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that AC/PC note the document and agree to the deletions.

⁴ RC 9.24 (Rev. CoP17), Annex 3: "Extinct species already included in the Appendices should be retained in the Appendices if they meet one of the precautionary criteria included in Annex 4.D."

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p>33.2</p> <p>►► Selection of species for the periodic review</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 33.2 / AC33 Doc. 45.2</p>	<p>deletion of certain species from the review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides outputs from assessment of species as outlined in RC 14.8 (Rev. CoP19) (Annexes 1 and 2). Invites AC/PC to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider submitting a draft Decision to CoP20 directing AC/PC to consider the application of criterion D in paragraph 3 b) ii) of Resolution Conf. 14.8 (Rev. CoP19);⁵ and Identify a list of animal or plant taxa to review during the next intersessional period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that AC/PC select species for inclusion in the Periodic Review.
<p>34. Inclusion of <i>Jubaea chilensis</i> in Appendix I</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 34</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted by Chile. Reports that: Chile plans to submit a proposal to list <i>J. chilensis</i> (Chilean palm) in Appendix I at CoP20; The species is classified as Endangered in Chile; Threats include, <i>inter alia</i>, habitat loss from forest fires, harvest of fruits and seeds for commercial purposes and international trade, grazing and drought, changes in land use. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC note the document and welcome this initiative from Chile.
<p>35. Annotation #15</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 35</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports that the Secretariat will provide an oral update on the related study and proposes to circulate the preliminary findings to PC for input prior to SC78. Invites PC to provide feedback and consider the approach proposed by Secretariat. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC agree to the proposed approach suggested by the Secretariat.
<p>36. Information system for trade in specimens of CITES-listed tree species</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 36</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports that the draft ToR for the study have been revised after consultation with PC and that US\$10,000 has been raised out of the US\$40,000 needed for the study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN remains concerned that It is unclear how this information system would feed into current CITES workstreams or would be more useful to CITES than an analysis of trade in tree species using data in the CITES trade database, including consideration of the significant financial costs to manage and upkeep a second trade database; also, how information on pricing information would enhance conservation and management of CITES-listed tree species.
<p>►► 37. Informal review mechanism of existing and proposed annotations</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 37 / AC33 Doc. 44</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a study on the feasibility and requirements for an informal review mechanism for existing and proposed annotations for species listed in Appendix I and II (Annex), that provides options for reviewing existing annotations and providing “rapid” review of proposed annotations or amendments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>An approach for the technical review of existing annotations</u> that could be, <i>inter alia</i>, undertaken by a subgroup of the annotations working group, a new subcommittee of Party representatives, or the Secretariat (itself or through a consultancy) ;with final review by SC; and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that AC oppose creating this additional review mechanism, given the workload of the Parties and the Secretariat, and duplication of existing work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple existing mechanisms allow for review of annotations, including the establishment of the working group on annotations and the Secretariat’s review of proposals per RC 5.20 (Rev. CoP17), which provides a broad mandate; Parties, under Art. XV, have a sovereign right to propose amendments to the Appendices as they see fit, and they also have significant time prior to CoPs to consider proposed annotations and consult with potentially affected

⁵ RC 14.8 (Rev. CoP19), paragraph 3 b) ii), Criterion D: “species for which it is clear that there has been no change in the status, range or trade and for which there is no possibility to need to amend the Appendices”

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Approach for the technical review of proposals for annotations or amendments to annotations</u> that could involve: review before submission, review after submission, or at the CoP. ▪ The creation of a new, small, consultative body composed of Parties and experts for conducting reviews. ● Invites AC to, <i>inter alia</i>, consider the report and provide comments on the scientific aspects that may need to be taken into account when conducting a review, in order to inform the Secretariat's reporting to SC78. 	<p>Parties;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Further, in case implementation or enforcement challenges should arise regarding specific annotations, Parties can raise these issues at AC/PC, SC, or CoP meetings and responses can be tailored to specific concerns if necessary.
38. Orchid specimens exempted through annotation #4 PC27 Doc. 38		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● As only three responses to a related Notification were received, the Secretariat cannot report on the conservation implications of the exemption. ● Invites PC to note this information, encourage range States, especially range States of <i>Gastrodia elata</i>, to bring any concerns relating to changes in the status of their wild populations associated with harvest for international trade to the attention of the Secretariat and PC, agree that the related Decisions have been implemented and report this to SC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
39. Annotation of Cape aloe (<i>Aloe ferox</i>) PC27 Doc. 39		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides annual trade data (2013-2022) for <i>Aloe ferox</i> from South Africa (Annex 1), information on illegal trade levels, responses to a related Notification regarding implications of application of annotation #4.. ● Reports that overall trade volume may have increased, that the species seems well-managed and South Africa seems to be taking steps to ensure trade remains non-detrimental. ● Invites PC to consider the information provided and agree to delete the related Decisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
40. Botanical and zoological nomenclature			
40.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶▶ Nomenclature of Appendix-III listings PC27 Doc. 40.1 / AC33 Doc. 47.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature and the AC specialist on zoological nomenclature, with contributions from the Secretariat and views of the intersessional working group. ● Provides proposed amendments to RC 9.25 (Rev. CoP18) on <i>Implementation of the Convention for species in Appendix III</i> from the USA (paragraph 23). ● Provides proposed amendments from the Secretariat to RC 9.25 (Rev. CoP18) and RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Standard nomenclature</i> (Annex). ● Invites AC/PC to consider submitting the amendments contained in the Annex amendments to SC78. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN recommends that AC/PC support amendments to RC 9.25 and express a preference for the wording provided by the United States in paragraph 23 (as opposed to those in the Annex), and submit the revisions to RC 9.25 to SC.
40.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶▶ Higher taxon listings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the PC specialist on botanical 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SSN strongly recommends AC/PC:

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p>in the Appendices</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 40.2 / AC33 Doc. 47.2 (Rev. 1)</p>	<p>nomenclature and the AC specialist on zoological nomenclature, with significant contributions from the Secretariat and views of the intersessional working group.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Recommendation 17 a) invites AC/PC to consider the merit of proposed revisions to RC 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) on <i>Criteria for amendment of Appendices I and II</i>, Annex 3, section on Higher taxa: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>“Proposals for the inclusion of higher taxa in the Appendices need to demonstrate for each of the included species that it complies with the listing criteria detailed in this Resolution”</u> (suggestion by Austria) : and/or ▪ <u>“The listing of a higher taxon does not automatically accept the addition or exclusion of species in the listing resulting from future changes in taxonomy accepted by the scientific community, but not yet by CITES”</u> (suggestion by the UK). ● Recommendation 17 b) i) invites AC/PC to consider revisions to RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Standard nomenclature</i>: “whenever a change in the name of a taxon included in the Appendices, <u>or the taxonomic level in which a taxon is included in the Appendices</u>, is proposed, the Secretariat..., determine whether this change would alter the scope of protection for fauna or flora under the Convention“; “the recommendations of the Animals and Plants Committees <u>that are determined not to alter the scope of protection for fauna and flora under the Convention will also</u> be considered by the Conference of the Parties” (suggested by USA). ● Recommendation 17 b) ii): invites AC/PC to consider revising RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) to “clarify that changes to the Appendices proposed by the nomenclature specialists of the AC/PC should be limited to the same rank as the originally-listed species or higher taxon”. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Oppose opening up a discussion on the listing criteria in RC 9.24 (Rev. CoP17), including the guidance on higher taxa in Annex 3. The criteria should be maintained unamended as they work well and Parties have opposed amending them at CoP18 and CoP19. - Oppose the proposal from Austria (paragraph 17 a)) as it would severely restrict higher taxon listings and undermine the ability to list species for look-alike reasons. Higher taxon listings simplify the Appendices, facilitate implementation and enforcement, prevent intentional mis-declaration and can pre-empt trade switching to non-regulated species; ▪ Oppose the proposal from the UK (paragraph 17 a)) as clarification should be placed in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Standard Nomenclature</i> which provides the process for addressing these concerns; ▪ Oppose the proposal in paragraph 17 b) ii) as, based on the comments provided in the Annex, there was no consensus that converting species listings to higher taxon listings is always substantive (requiring a formal proposal to CoP). ▪ Support the proposal from the USA (paragraph 17 b) i)) that provides clarification on these issues within the process provided for in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19); ● SSN notes that the suggested revisions to RC 9.24, some of which are substantive, were not discussed or agreed to by the working group. ● In addition, while the report states as a conclusion that converting species lists to higher taxon listings is always substantive, there was no agreement on this issue. ● SSN considers that the question of whether and when a higher taxon listing may be appropriate should be left to the discretion of Parties.
<p>40.3</p> <p>►► Development of a standardized global checklist of species</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 40.3 / AC33 Doc. 47.3</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature and the AC specialist on zoological nomenclature, with significant contributions from the Secretariat and views of the intersessional working group. ● Noting that the Global Checklist process is a long-term undertaking, invites the AC/PC to consider whether to renew the related Decision or incorporate this work into RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on Standard nomenclature. ● Provides a list of taxa that will be prioritized for this 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● See SSN recommends that AC/PC agree to the recommendations.

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<p>work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposes to consolidate paragraphs 5 and 11 of RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) in order to avoid duplication (replaces “consider ways of harmonizing” with “promote harmonization”). Invites AC/PC to note the list of taxa, agree to the consolidation and extend the mandate to continue work on the checklist 	
41. Report of the specialist on botanical nomenclature			
41.1	<p>Overview of flora nomenclature matters for the period CoP19-CoP20</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. Provides a summary of Decisions in effect relating to nomenclature for flora, progress made, and a way forward (including, for some issues, draft related Decisions that may be presented to CoP20) regarding various nomenclature matters. Invites PC to consider the proposed way forward. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSN recommends that PC establish a working group to consider the proposed ways forward.
41.2	<p>Nomenclature for aloes (<i>Aloe</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepared by the Secretariat, in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. Provides a proposed updated nomenclature reference for aloes (Annexes 1 and 2) and reports that recent taxonomic publications proposed the inclusion of four additional species in the genus <i>Aloe</i> spp.. Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, advise on the draft reference, determine whether the inclusion of four additional <i>Aloe</i> species not previously covered by the Appendices would be a substantive change and require a proposal to CoP20, and replace the current nomenclature reference on aloes in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Standard nomenclature</i>. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
41.3	<p>Nomenclature of Appendix-II listed orchids (Orchidaceae spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.3</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepared by the Secretariat, in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. Provides responses to a Notification regarding experiences using the standard nomenclatural reference for Appendix-II listed orchids and differences between it and alternate nomenclatural references (Annexes 1-8). Proposes a revision (update) of the current nomenclatural reference (rather than retaining the current or preparing a new reference) as, <i>inter alia</i>, the family comprises around 30,000 species, CITES nomenclature requires a certain degree of stability, and the current reference was adopted at CoP19; and provides draft Decisions to undertake this work. Invites PC to consider the options and draft Decisions that, <i>inter alia</i>, direct Secretariat to prepare this update, and report to CoP20. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p>41.4</p> <p>Nomenclature for ebonies (<i>Diospyros</i> spp.) (populations of Madagascar)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.4</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides the first draft of a checklist in English (Annex 1) and French (Annex 2), recognizing 88 species. • Notes that as the new taxonomic listing does not alter the scope of the original proposal, there is no need to accompany the new reference with any amendment proposal. • Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, provide feedback on the draft checklist, and recommend amendment of RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Standard nomenclature</i> with the new reference. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>41.5</p> <p>Standard nomenclature for cumarus (<i>Dipteryx</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. • Provides an updated time-stamped extract for the genus <i>Dipteryx</i> from the Plants of the World Online (POWO) database (Annex). • Invites PC to consider using this extract as the nomenclature reference for the genus in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>41.6</p> <p>Standard nomenclature for African mahoganies (<i>Khaya</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.6</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. • Provides an updated time-stamped extract for the genus <i>Khaya</i> from the Plants of the World Online (POWO) database (Annex); notes that it is identical to the current reference, and therefore, there seems to be no need to pursue an update of the timestamp for <i>Khaya</i> spp. under RC (Rev. CoP19) • Invites PC to note progress and consider deleting related Decisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
<p>41.7</p> <p>Nomenclature for pachypodiums (<i>Pachypodium</i> spp.)</p> <p>PC27 Doc. 41.7</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared by the Secretariat, in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. • Provides a contains an updated nomenclature reference for pachypodiums (Annex 1) and a machine-readable lists in excel (Annex 2). • Secretariat anticipates that a finalized version will be available by CoP20. • Invites PC to consider using this extract as the nomenclature reference for the genus in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
41.8 Standard nomenclature for roseroots (<i>Rhodiola</i> spp.) PC27 Doc. 41.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prepared by the Secretariat, in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature. ● Provides an updated time-stamped extract for the genus <i>Rhodiola</i> from the POWO database (Annex 1) and a comparison of this extract with the reference that was used in proposal CoP19 Prop. 45. ● Notes two major differences: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Annex 2 includes 12 newly-described species that are all splits from species included in CoP19 Prop. 45, and therefore do not constitute a substantive change of the scope of the genus listing ▪ POWO also does not contain synonyms not validly published that are referred to in reference used in CoP19 Prop. 45; yet all species included in Annex 2. ● Invites PC to consider using this extract as the nomenclature reference for the genus in RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
42. Regional reports PC27 Doc. 42.2 (Rev. 1), 42.4, 42.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provides regional reports for Asia, Europe and North America at the time this document was produced. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
43. Any other business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
44. Time and venue of PC28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.
45. Closing remarks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No document. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No comment.



Species Survival Network
 SSN.org info@ssn.org