



**SUMMARY OF ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED AT  
THE TWENTY-SIXTH MEETING OF THE  
CITES PLANTS COMMITTEE**  
GENEVA, SWITZERLAND 5-9 JUNE 2023

All meeting documents prepared by the CITES Secretariat unless otherwise indicated.  
SC=Standing Committee AC=Animals Committee PC=Plants Committee RC=Resolution Conf. CoP=Conference of the Parties  
▶▶ indicates document for joint sessions of AC32 and PC26

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<b>1. Confirmation of the election of the Chair and Vice-Chair</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>2. Declaration of conflict of interest</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>3. Rules of Procedure (RoP)</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides RoP as amended at PC24.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>4. Agenda</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides the provisional agenda of the meeting for consideration and adoption.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>5. Working programme</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides the provisional working programme of the meeting for consideration and adoption.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>6. Admission of observers</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not available at the time this document was prepared.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>▶▶ 7. Emerging operational matters of the committees</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 7 / AC32 Doc. 7</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides Secretariat's recommendations if intersessional work and meetings are affected by emerging operational issues and circumstances warrant online or hybrid meetings.</li> <li>Provides a risk matrix for convening meetings, non-fee cancellation deadlines for hosting future meetings of AC/PC/SC (Annex 1); and <i>Guidance on the application of the RoP of online or hybrid AC/PC meetings</i> (Annex 2) that would preclude the need to amend the AC/PC RoP.</li> <li>Recommends guiding principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Online / hybrid options would be considered only when quorum as defined in the AC/PC RoPs might not be reached; and</li> <li>AC/PC representatives serve as individuals; SC representatives are Parties and can be represented by embassy or permanent mission staff.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Identifies two exceptional circumstances that would prevent establishment of a quorum: circumstances of a global level (e.g. COVID19) or at the location of the meeting; or representatives from several regions unable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN acknowledges the immense carbon footprint of in-person meetings and the impact of climate change on wild animals, including CITES-listed species, and their habitats.</li> <li>SSN urges AC/PC to adopt measures that ensure maximum openness, transparency and inclusiveness; use of technology, when necessary, must not limit participation and transparent decision-making.</li> <li>Regarding intersessional decision-making, the establishment of (intersessional) working groups and their ToR should be done in open debate and allow for input and participation from observers.</li> <li>Virtual, hybrid and/or intersessional working groups could be conducted more effectively with online processes that would allow participants to discuss, propose and amend text without the need for circulating attached documents.</li> <li>The increasing volume of Decisions has both resource and time implications for the Committees and the Secretariat; we encourage AC/PC to ensure that activities with a priority ranking of "core" and "high" be prioritized for resources.</li> </ul>

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<p>to be present in person at the meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notes that the volume of Decisions directed to the committees by the CoP has increased substantially over the past decade.</li> <li>• Proposes a simplified intersessional decision-making process for establishing leads on agenda items or intersessional working groups, and commenting on ToR.</li> <li>• Invites AC/PC to comment on and make recommendations to: guiding principles; exceptional circumstances (Annex 2); a simplified intersessional decision-making process; and amendment of RC 18.2 on <i>Establishment of committees</i> to enable regional representatives to read a statement on behalf of a Party directly affected by a specific agenda item.</li> </ul>	
<b>8. Plants Committee strategic planning for 2023–2025 (CoP19–CoP20)</b>			
<b>8.1</b>	Resolutions and Decisions directed to the Plants Committee  <b>PC26 Doc. 8.1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides the Resolutions (Annex 1) and Decisions (Annex 2) directed to PC.</li> <li>• Invites PC to take these into consideration in developing its working programme.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>8.2</b>	Plants Committee workplan  <b>PC26 Doc. 8.2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides instructions to PC and PC leads on each issue (Annexes 1 and 2).</li> <li>• Invites PC to note the document and agree that leads will represent PC in the event of SC intersessional working groups.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>
<p>►► <b>9. CITES Strategic Vision</b> <b>PC26 Doc. 9 / AC32 Doc. 9</b></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides, <i>inter alia</i>, a mapping of the CITES Strategic Vision against the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (Annex), and possible indicators for its objective 1.4 (“The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation status and needs of species”), including the number and proportion of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ a) Species found to meet the CITES listing criteria as part of the Periodic Review process;</li> <li>▪ b) The number and proportion of species included in the CITES Appendices identified as likely to be threatened by international trade on the basis of information in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species;</li> <li>▪ c) Appendix-I species that have an increasing population according to the IUCN Red List (possibly warranting transfer to Appendix II); and</li> <li>▪ d) Appendix-II species with a decreasing population according to the IUCN Red List (possibly warranting transfer to Appendix I).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Per Decision 19.20, directing Secretariat to identify priorities for collaboration to enhance CITES</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding possible indicators for objective 1.4, SSN recommends that AC/PC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Ensure that indicators evaluate whether CITES is keeping pace with the scale of wildlife trade by looking at unlisted species in addition to those that are listed on the CITES Appendices. The Parties have begun discussions about a suitable process to regularly identify such species (see AC32 Doc. 27);</li> <li>▪ Agree that “likely to be threatened by international trade” is not a CITES standard for listing. The indicator should read “The number and proportion of species identified as 1) threatened with extinction that are or may be affected by trade or 2) not yet threatened with extinction but may become so if not regulated by CITES, based on of information from the IUCN Red List and other sources, included in the CITES Appendices.</li> <li>▪ Agree that the number and proportion of species potentially affected by international trade that are not adequately protected under CITES (either unlisted or under-listed) is an important indicator; the IUCN Red List</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<p>implementation, reports that Secretariat will consult AC/PC (via the Chairs) about who they consider key partners.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Invites AC to, <i>inter alia</i>,: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Advise Secretariat on possible indicators for objective 1.4; and</li> <li>▪ Comment on possible ways CITES could contribute to the monitoring framework;</li> <li>▪ Request Secretariat to: continue working with the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime on indicator 15.7.1; inform Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity of the outcome of this work; and</li> <li>▪ Agree that AC Chair will coordinate AC input on the partnership strategy.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>should not be the only source of such information. Red List assessments are frequently out of date and may not assess the current threat posed by trade; more recent information, including published scientific reports, may give a more accurate picture.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Reject the Secretariat's suggestions in paragraphs 13 c. and d. relating to increasing/decreasing populations; the existing Periodic Review is the appropriate mechanism for evaluating whether species are listed in the appropriate Appendix.</li> </ul>
<p>►► <b>10. Role of CITES in reducing risk of future zoonotic disease emergence associated with international wildlife trade</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 10 / AC32 Doc. 10</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Provides: update on related activities; relevant Decisions (Annex 1); responses to a related Notification (Annex 2); draft Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (Annex 3A) and draft program of work (Annex 3B) between the Secretariat and World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH); report on CMS work on this issue (Annex 4); and responses (Annex 5) from UNEP, CBD, FAO, WHO and ICCWC.<sup>1</sup></li> <li>● Invites AC to nominate representatives to the SC working group on this issue; provide comments on the MoU and draft program of work with WOAH (AC32 Doc. 10 Annex 3B) ; and make recommendations to SC on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Proposed effective and practical solutions for reducing pathogen spillover risk in wildlife supply chains;</li> <li>▪ Opportunities for practical collaboration under the direction of existing Resolutions, Decisions and agreements; and</li> <li>▪ A possible CITES advisory body on One Health and zoonosis prevention</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN welcomes the progress on CITES' involvement in reducing risk of future zoonotic disease emergence associated with international wildlife trade.</li> <li>● SSN recommends that AC/PC Members: participate actively in the related SC working group; ensure that discussion of the MoU is part of its ToR, that the MOU is narrowly tailored and that all budgetary implications are vetted transparently; include the following amendments in the draft MoU : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Add to the preamble a paragraph noting that legal wildlife trade in non-CITES species is extensive, often unregulated and poorly monitored, increasing the risk for zoonotic spillovers, and that increased exploitation following habitat destruction may increase this risk still further.</li> <li>▪ Art. 2 (1): Adding "hygienic standards during transport of, in particular, live wild animals for international trade" as a topic of interest, and including that aspect in the Draft joint work programme.</li> </ul> </li> <li>● SSN urges AC/PC to include in its recommendations to SC under Decision 19.16 the need for a precautionary approach to wildlife trade where the risks of zoonotic pathogen emergence and spillover are uncertain (see Lao PDR's submission in Annex 1) ; and to incorporate demand reduction into this work stream.</li> <li>● SSN strongly encourages countries exporting and importing wildlife (especially live animals) to shorten and simplify supply chains, improve welfare and hygiene</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), World Health Organization (WHO), International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC)

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<p>standards across the full supply chain and to conduct regular disease screenings as preventive measures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regarding formation of an advisory body, SSN encourages AC/PC and the related SC working group to consider whether CITES authorities could instead, seek to establish a formal dialogue with the quadripartite organizations working on One Health (FAO, WOA, UNEP and WHO).</li> </ul>
<p><b>11. Cooperation with the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation (GSPC)</b></p> <p>PC26 Doc. 11</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted by the representative for Oceania at the request of the PC Chair.</li> <li>Provides an update on activities related to GSPC; provides RC 16.5 on <i>Cooperation with the GSPC of the Convention on Biological Diversity</i> (Annex).</li> <li>Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, consider drafting a revision to Resolution Conf. 16.5 and prepare recommendations to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC consider drafting a revision to Resolution Conf. 16.5 and prepare recommendations to SC.</li> </ul>
<p>►► <b>12. IPBES Report on the Assessment of the Sustainable Use of Wild Species</b></p> <p>PC26 Doc. 12 / AC32 Doc. 12</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by AC Chair.</li> <li>Decision 19.28 Directs AC and PC to review the “scientific aspects” of the IPBES sustainable use report<sup>2</sup> and consider their relevance to the implementation of CITES, and provide the results to SC.</li> <li>Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A provisional list of scientific issues addressed in Chapters 3 and 4 that could be relevant for CITES implementation and processes (Annex 1);</li> <li>A table of these issues and the current CITES Resolutions, Decisions, systems and processes that could address them (Annex 2);</li> <li>Key findings in the Summary for Policymakers and the possible relevance to the mandates of AC, PC and SC (Annex 3); and</li> <li>Draft ToR for an intersessional working group that include, <i>inter alia</i>, reviewing the Annexes, identify scientific issues relevant to CITES implementation that are not adequately covered in existing Resolutions and Decisions, and report to SC77 or PC27/AC33.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Invites AC/PC to establish a joint intersessional working group to review the report and develop recommendations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC establish the intersessional working group and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note that IPBES has much broader objectives than CITES and many of the issues identified in the report fall outside CITES’ mandate;</li> <li>Limit the review of the report to issues that are relevant to achieving CITES’ goals (protection of species from overexploitation through international trade) and within the mandate of the Convention;</li> <li>Consider whether some issues in Annex 1 may not be accurate and/or relevant to CITES;</li> <li>Amend the ToR to discard consideration of Annex 3; Decision 19.28 states that the AC/PC should review the <u>scientific aspects</u> of the report; Annex 3 contains many socio-economic aspects which are outside the mandate of CITES and the purview of PC/AC, such as land tenure, resource rights, distribution of costs and benefits, human migration and culture, and certification schemes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>SSN urges the AC/PC to remind IPBES of its promise to make public all comments received from reviewers of this report. These comments should be available for consideration by the working group.</li> </ul>
<p><b>13. CITES and forests</b></p> <p>PC26 Doc. 13</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a summary of actions taken and documents prepared by the Secretariat on CITES and forests under Decisions 19.32 to 19.34.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN believes there is no need to create a separate work stream [program] that is not part of existing CoP decisions for forest-related species, and instead, encourages PC to</li> </ul>

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invites PC to provide input on the CITES Forest Compendium (Annex 1), Draft Terms of Reference (Annex 2) for proposed interdisciplinary study on CITES and forests, and a related draft Resolution provided to SC74 (Annex 3).</li> <li>Invites PC to formulate recommendations to the Secretariat for reporting to SC on Decision 19.34, as appropriate.</li> </ul>	<p>continue work on tree species via the highly successful CITES Tree Species Programme.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regarding the ToR for the interdisciplinary study in Annex 2, SSN is concerned that the proposed study goes well beyond the mandate of CITES, and recommends that the PC restrict its terms to cover only items relevant to the CITES Tree Species Programme.</li> <li>SSN urges PC to recommend that consideration of ecosystems be restricted to the requirements of Article IV.3, the only place in the Convention text where the word 'ecosystem' occurs, and how a species' role in its ecosystem should be considered in the making of NDFs; these issues should be included in the 2nd international workshop on NDFs.</li> </ul>
<p>►► 14. Country-wide Significant Trade Review</p> <p>PC26 Doc. 14 / AC32 Doc. 13</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides an update on progress in implementing the Review (Annex 1), and species/country combinations currently in the Review (Annex 2).</li> <li>Invites AC to note this document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC note the document.</li> </ul>
<p>15. CITES Tree Species Programme</p> <p>PC26 Doc. 15</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides an update on results of CITES Tree Species Programme (CTSP) on NDFs produced, work on identification and traceability, Agarwood and Plantations.</li> <li>Notes that EU near-term funding for CTSP is not available, but the Secretariat will identify activities to receive the EUR 500,000 in bridging funding from the EU.</li> <li>Invites PC to take note of the CTSP scientific results, request the Secretariat provide relevant CTSP information to the CITES NDF Project's Technical Advisory Group, and consider information on CTSP in reviewing the draft ToR in PC26 Doc. 13 Annex 2 on CITES and forests.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <i>Recommendations</i> under PC26 Doc. 13.</li> <li>SSN urges PC to support the Programme as a valuable part of CITES' core mission and to recommend that, if an initiative on CITES and forests advances, the Tree Species Programme be retained as a separate entity.</li> </ul>
<p>16. Review of Significant Trade in specimens of Appendix-II species</p>			
16.1	<p>Overview of the Review of Significant Trade</p> <p>PC26 Doc. 16.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides an update on progress in implementing the Review (Annex 1) and species/country combinations currently in the Review (Annex 2).</li> <li>Invites PC to note this document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC note the document.</li> </ul>
16.2	<p>Ongoing cases selected between CoP11 and CoP17</p> <p>PC26 Doc. 16.2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An update on current cases under consideration by PC (Annex 1); and</li> <li>Export quotas requested by the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) for <i>Pericopsis elata</i> and <i>Prunus africana</i> for 2022 and 2023 (Annex 2); and information on <i>Dalbergia retusa</i> from Panama, which has suspended export of the species since December 2022</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC assess the update provided by the Secretariat at PC26 on the outcomes of any consultations with DRC and determine whether DRC's request to increase its annual quota of <i>P. elata</i> to 90,620 m<sup>3</sup> of logs, sawn wood and veneer sheets for 2023 is conservative, noting that it is a significant increase from the 2022 quota of 77,976 m<sup>3</sup>.</li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	<p>(Annex 3).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invites PC to provide input on progress made so far and make any additional recommendations to the Secretariat.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>16.3</b></p>	<p>Implementation of the recommendations of the PC by Madagascar on <i>Prunus africana</i></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 16.3</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC note the document.</li> </ul>
<p><b>16.4</b></p>	<p>Ongoing cases of species selected following CoP18 (<i>Pterocarpus erinaceus</i> as an exceptional case)</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 16.4</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commend Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana and Sierra Leone on setting zero export quotas;</li> <li>Commend Benin on its initial steps on an NDF; recommend that Benin's science-based NDF incorporate updated studies or inventories (PC26 Doc. 16.4 notes that an "abundance of data in NDF is based on a 2008 national inventory");</li> <li>Note that the Gambia, Guinea-Bissau and Mali have not set zero export quotas although the deadline for doing so has passed, and request that these countries set zero quotas for 2023;</li> <li>Request that Burkina Faso, The Gambia, Ghana and Guinea-Bissau provide any updates on long-term recommendations for the species;</li> <li>Recommend that Mali's science-based NDF and proposed export quota be revised to incorporate updated studies or inventories (PC26 Doc. 16.4 notes that "[t]he principal data sources for the NDF are national forest inventories undertaken in 2014"; the CITES Trade Database reports China importing 262,208.9m3 from Mali between 2017 and 2021);</li> <li>Commend Nigeria on progress towards development of an NDF, including a work plan for its Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) project, and request that Nigeria provide any updates; and</li> <li>Commend Sierra Leone on development of a draft NDF, and request that Sierra Leone provide any updates. SSN reminds the PC that the suggested positive NDFs may not be achievable, given the finding that "commercially viable and exportable stocks in most of its districts is relatively low" in the related report.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>16.5</b></p>	<p>Selection of new species/country combinations for review</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that, in selecting species, PC focus on species classified by IUCN as Critically Endangered and Endangered that are traded in significant quantities.</li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
following CoP19 <b>PC26 Doc. 16.5</b>	preliminary selection of species/country combinations (Annex 2). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invites PC to select a limited number of species/country combinations for inclusion in the Review.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Also, see <i>Recommendation</i> for PC26 Doc. 27.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 17. Non-detriment findings</b> <b>PC26 Doc. 17/ AC32 Doc. 16</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides an update on related activities, including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establishment of a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) of AC/PC to the CITES NDF project;</li> <li>A summary of the 2nd TAG meeting and resulting advice (Annex 1);</li> <li>An outline and workplan for the international expert workshop (Annex 2) to be held 4-8 December in Nairobi, Kenya;</li> <li>Criteria for selecting sponsored participants (Annex 3); and</li> <li>A narrative intermediate progress report of the ongoing work, including the ToRs of the expert groups.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress made and advice regarding workshop participation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC note the progress made, and ensure that:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The workshop is open to Parties, observer NGOs and other experts in addition to those participating in the expert groups;</li> <li>The guidelines and related case studies are open to public consultation before they are finalized; and</li> <li>Parties are provided the opportunity to provide input as to what working groups are organized for the workshop.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>18. Sustainability criteria for timber non-detriment findings</b> <b>PC26 Doc. 18</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the EU.</li> <li>Reports that the EU has received requests for CITES-listed timber species that have originated from <i>nonpermanent forest domains</i> from a number of exporting range States.</li> <li>States that the EU considers that forests demonstrating full regeneration and recovery capacity (e.g., a Recovery Index of 100%) are the only option for a CITES-listed species in forestry management to be considered entirely sustainable.</li> <li>Invites PC to note the document and encourage Parties exporting CITES-listed timber species to provide feedback on these considerations for timber NDFs to the Secretariat for consideration under work undertaken under agenda item 17.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC note the document, encourage Parties to provide feedback and commend the EU for their efforts on this issue.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 19. Materials for the identification of specimens of CITES-listed species</b> <b>PC26 Doc. 19 / AC32 Doc. 19.1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides an update on related activities, including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establishment of a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) of AC/PC to the CITES NDF project;</li> <li>A summary of the 2nd TAG meeting and resulting advice (Annex 1);</li> <li>An outline and workplan for the international expert workshop (Annex 2) to be held 4-8 December in Nairobi, Kenya;</li> <li>Criteria for selecting sponsored participants (Annex 3); and</li> <li>A narrative intermediate progress report of the ongoing work, including the ToRs of the expert groups.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, note progress made and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC note the progress made, and ensure that:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The workshop is open to Parties, observer NGOs and other experts in addition to those participating in the expert groups;</li> <li>The guidelines and related case studies are open to public consultation before they are finalized; and</li> <li>Parties are provided the opportunity to provide input as to what working groups are organized for the workshop.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
	advice regarding workshop participation.	
<b>20. Identification of timber and other wood products</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides draft terms of reference (Annex 1) for the development of the repository of timber and other wood product identification resources, launched in November 2022, and the outcomes of the online Task Force meeting on illegal trade in specimens of CITES-listed tree species (Annex 2).</li> <li>Notes that responses to a related Notification will be provided at a later date.</li> <li>Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, provide feedback on the draft ToR, and agree on a way forward on issues regarding identification of timber and other wood products</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that the PC encourage Parties and organizations to submit further identification resources for inclusion in the repository.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 21. Transport of live specimens</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 21 / AC32 Doc. 20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted by the USA.</li> <li>Proposes areas of focus for a virtual international workshop (January 2024) to share best practices related to live animal and plant transport; invites PC/AC to identify leads on this issue and for these leads to work with the Secretariat developing the ToR for consideration by the SC, and issue a related Notification for feedback and seeking instructors.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC provide feedback on the proposed workshop, agree to the development of ToR and identify appropriate leads, and request the Secretariat to issue a related Notification.</li> <li>SSN also recommends that observer organizations be invited to participate in the workshop, as well as IGOs such as WOAH in order to provide input relating to WOAH transport standards and recommendations.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 22. Specimens produced through biotechnology</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 22 / AC32 Doc. 22</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invites PC/AC to nominate representatives to be members of the SC intersessional working group on this issue.</li> <li>Notes that funding to host a related meeting has not been secured.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC nominate representatives to the SC working group.</li> <li>SSN encourages Parties to fund a meeting.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 23. Review of CITES provisions related to trade in specimens of animals and plants not of wild source</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 23 / AC32 Doc. 9</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides background information and draft ToR (Annex) for a joint intersessional working group that includes, <i>inter alia</i>, consideration of amendments to RC 10.16 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Specimens of animal species bred in captivity</i> and RC 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) on <i>Permits and certificates</i>; and implementation of Article VII, paras, 4 and 5.</li> <li>Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establish a joint intersessional working group on these issues; and</li> <li>Agree to the ToR (Annex) for the group.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC establish the intersessional working group.</li> </ul>
<b>24. Guidance on the term 'artificially propagated'</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 24</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reports on progress to revise CITES guidance on the term 'artificially propagated'.</li> <li>Invites PC to note the Secretariat's progress on these issues.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC note the Secretariat's progress.</li> </ul>
<b>►► 25. Assessment of Appendix-I listed species</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 25 / AC32 Doc. 26</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a summary of ten species chosen for assessment (Annex).</li> <li>Reports that the Secretariat will update and finalize the detailed assessments based on the responses from the range States and relevant experts and prepare a report.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC establish the working group.</li> </ul>



ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invites AC/PC to establish a joint intersessional working group to, <i>inter alia</i>, review the results of the rapid assessments along with related documents and responses from the range States; refine the methodology and its criteria for carrying out an assessment, and make recommendations to PC27/AC33.</li> </ul>	
<p>►► <b>26. Identifying species at risk of extinction affected by international trade</b></p> <p>PC26 Doc. 26 / AC32 Doc. 27</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted by AC/PC Chairs.</li> <li>Provides draft ToR (Annex) for an intersessional working group to consider, <i>inter alia</i>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Possible process or mechanism under RC 19.2 on <i>Capacity-building</i> to provide Parties with information on species at risk of extinction not yet, or insufficiently, regulated under CITES that are or may be affected by international trade, to be considered when preparing listing proposals;</li> <li>Possible processes/mechanisms for providing support or guidance to Parties developing listing proposals; and report to AC33/PC27.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Invites AC/PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, nominate representatives to participate in the SC intersessional working group; establish a joint AC/PC intersessional working group on this issue; and consider the draft ToR.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that AC/PC nominate members to the SC working group and establish the AC/PC intersessional working group.</li> <li>Granting adequate CITES protection to all threatened species potentially affected by international trade is a core CITES obligation that should be prioritized through the establishment of a robust process for identifying species and regularly providing such relevant information to the Parties.</li> </ul>
<p><b>27. Agarwood-producing taxa (Aquilaria spp. and Gyrinops spp.)</b></p> <p>PC26 Doc. 27</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides <i>A review of the agarwood-producing genera Aquilaria and Gyrinops: CITES considerations, trade patterns, conservation, and management</i> (Annex).</li> <li>Invites PC to establish a working group for this and related documents (CoP19 Inf. 5 (Rev. 1), CoP19 Inf. 12 and CoP19 Inf. 15) and, <i>inter alia</i>, consider potential revisions to RC 16.10 on <i>Implementation of the Convention for agarwood-producing taxa</i>; formulate any appropriate recommendations regarding the Agarwood glossary and the Agarwood NDF guidance; and make recommendations to SC77.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC review the documents and, in addition to the actions suggested, also consider inclusion of one or more species of <i>Aquilaria</i> spp. or <i>Gyrinops</i> spp. in the Review of Significant Trade.</li> </ul>
<b>28. Boswellia trees (Boswellia spp.)</b>			
28.1	<p>Report of the Secretariat</p> <p>PC26 Doc. 28.1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a report (Annex 2) on <i>Boswellia</i> species in international trade and the recommendations of the report (Annex 1). The report recommends that, <i>inter alia</i>, listing of the genus in Appendix II should not be pursued as Somalia (currently a major exporting country), is subject to a trade suspension and that the resulting suspension of trade in <i>Boswellia</i> spp. would harm local communities.</li> <li>Invites PC to identify meetings or other venues that might provide opportunities to collaborate or share information regarding harvest and management of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC, in formulating recommendations to inform possible listing proposals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Also consider information on these species' status, threats and harvest contained in PC25 Doc. 25;</li> <li>Explore ways in which a CITES listing could build upon positive actions taken by industry, be implemented in a beneficial way, and avoid or mitigate the potential problems raised in Annex 2.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<i>Boswellia</i> species; and make recommendations to inform possible listing proposals.	
28.2	Conservation status, trade and threats to the genus <i>Boswellia</i> (Frankincense)  <b>PC26 Doc. 28.2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submitted by Switzerland.</li> <li>Reports on ongoing research on <i>Boswellia</i> species, noting that the project will be concluded by the end of 2023.</li> <li>Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, request the Secretariat to organize a meeting with the Range States based on this information, consider organizing a meeting in the framework of the next frankincense symposium to be held in Salalah, Oman, and submit the outcomes of the ongoing project on <i>Boswellia</i> at the end of 2023 to PC27 and discuss the results in an in-session working group.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC adopt the recommendations in this document, including organization of a meeting with range States.</li> </ul>
29.	<b>Rosewood timber species [Leguminosae (Fabaceae)]</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 29</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides the ToR for a study, conservation and trade of rosewood tree species (Annex 1), a preliminary list of species identified as rosewood, and responses to a related Notification (Annex 2), and related documents (PC25 Doc. 26.2 and PC25 Doc. 26.3 in Annexes 3 and 4).</li> <li>Rather than hosting a separate workshop, recommends the results of the study be merged with the international expert workshop on non-detriment findings (NDF).</li> <li>Invites PC to review the draft ToR and make recommendations to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC, in its consideration of the proposed merger of the rosewood workshop with the NDF workshop, note that the Decision 18.234 paragraph b) directs the Secretariat to, “<i>taking into consideration the advice of the Plants Committee, and subject to external funding, organize an international workshop, inviting relevant range States, trading countries, relevant organizations, industry representatives and other experts, with a view to presenting and discussing the results, and develop recommendations.</i>”</li> <li>SSN urges PC to recommend that any workshop be open to all relevant Parties, and not be restricted to attendees of the NDF workshop.</li> </ul>
30.	<b>Products containing specimens of Appendix-II orchids (Orchidaceae spp.)</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 30</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a report, <i>Review of the Edible Orchid Trade</i> (Annexes 1 and 2); and draft ToR for a study to compile an overview of Appendix-II orchid taxa that are particularly affected by wild harvest for international trade (Annex 3).</li> <li>Invites PC to review the results of the report, advise the Secretariat on the draft ToR, and make recommendations on how to improve CITES compliance for Appendix-II listed orchids to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
31.	<b>Brazil wood (<i>Paubrasilia echinata</i>)</b>  <b>PC26 Doc. 31</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides draft ToR (Annex 1) for a report on enforcement actions, illegal trade and marking of bows, responses relating to traceability and supply chains received in response to Notification (Annex 2); and options for the establishment of a traceability system to register their provenance.</li> <li>Provides responses to a Notification that included a questionnaire on Brazil wood (Annex 2).</li> <li>Invites PC to advise the Secretariat on the draft ToR.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regarding the ToR, Output 1, Activity 1.1, SSN recommends that the review should include systems useful in the tracing of bows, <u>bow blanks and material discarded during the manufacturing process.</u></li> </ul>
32.	<b>African tree species</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preliminary overview of African tree species listed in the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SSN recommends that PC establish an intersessional working group to review the list and develop</li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p><b>PC26 Doc. 32</b></p>	<p>CITES Appendices (Annex 1);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recommendations emanating from discussions on <i>Prunus africana</i> at the CITES Tree Species Programme Regional Meeting for Africa (Annex 2); and</li> <li>▪ A synthesis of intersessional working group discussions between CoP18 and CoP19</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Invites PC to review and revise the list of African tree species; establish priorities to strengthen the implementation of CITES for these species, including future work on <i>Prunus africana</i>, and provide recommendations to SC and CoP20.</li> </ul>	<p>recommendations to SC and CoP20.</p>
<p><b>33. Neotropical tree species</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 33</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Provides a preliminary overview of Neotropical tree species listed in the Appendices (Annex).</li> <li>● Invites PC to, <i>inter alia</i>, review and revise the list of Neotropical tree species; establish priorities to strengthen the implementation of CITES for these species; and provide recommendations to SC and CoP20.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN recommends that PC establish an intersessional working group to review the list and develop recommendations to SC and CoP20.</li> </ul>
<p><b>►► 34. Trade in medicinal and aromatic plant species</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 34 / Doc. 41</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Reports that the Secretariat has received responses from a Notification regarding CITES-listed medicinal and aromatic plants; and that funding to undertake an analysis of e-commerce supply chains in CITES-listed species has not been secured.</li> <li>● Provides draft ToRs for the study (Annex 1) and for a related PC intersessional working group that include, <i>inter alia</i>, initiating the review of RC 10.19 (Rev. CoP14) on <i>Traditional medicines</i> or proposing a new Resolution on medicinal and aromatic plant products.</li> <li>● Invites AC to consider the outcomes of PC26 on this issue, identify member(s) to participate in any related PC working group; and coordinate with PC in reporting to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN recommends that AC/PC agree that work on this issue be restricted to CITES-listed plant species; while much of the trade in CITES-listed plant species for medicinal purposes is legal, a significant portion of trade in fauna for these purposes is illegal and may require a different approach and specialized consideration.</li> </ul>
<p><b>►► 35. Periodic review of species included in Appendices I and II (RC 14.8 (Rev. CoP19))</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 35 / AC32 Doc. 42</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Reports that the Secretariat has not been able to secure funding to undertake the related outputs for consideration of AC/PC but if funding is available, the outputs could be presented for AC33/PC27.</li> <li>● Invites PC/AC to note this document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN recommends that AC/PC note this document.</li> </ul>
<p><b>►► 36. Annotations</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 36 / AC32 Doc. 43</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Submitted by the UK.</li> <li>● Notes that SC76 established an intersessional working group on annotations and provides the ToR for the group contained in Decision 16.162 (Rev. CoP19).</li> <li>● Invites PC/AC to agree on which members will join the working group, comment on the ToR for the group, and suggest any additional items that could be directed to the group.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN recommends that AC/PC nominate members to participate in the SC working group on this issue.</li> <li>● SSN urges the PC/AC to focus the TORs and the working group's efforts on plant annotations given their complexity and importance, and emphasis in Decision 16.162 (Rev. CoP19).</li> </ul>
<p><b>37. Annotation #15</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Provides the draft ToR (Annex 1) for a study to assess</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● SSN recommends that PC note this document.</li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<p><b>PC26 Doc. 37</b></p>	<p>the effect of the exemptions contained in annotation #15 for finished musical instruments, parts and accessories, and the conservation implications on <i>Dalbergia/Guibourtia</i> species in international trade.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides related documents from PC25 (Annex 2).</li> <li>• Invites PC to provide feedback on the draft ToR; and make recommendations for the Secretariat's report to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN recommends that PC suggest a correction under b) Activity 2. There are two ii) and the second should be changed to iii). For clarity, the text in new b) iii) could be amended to read as follows: "implement the agreed protocols and assess, including through case studies, any effects of the exemptions contained in annotation #15 on <i>Dalbergia/Guibourtia</i> species."</li> </ul>
<p><b>38. Information system for trade in specimens of CITES-listed tree species</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 38</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides an update on progress regarding the Secretariat's commission of a study (cost of US\$40,000) to explore development of an information system for the purpose of processing data on legal international trade in CITES-listed tree species; this would result in an analysis of trade data for each CoP.</li> <li>• Invites PC to note the information and request PC Chair and Vice-Chair to provide comments on the Secretariat's draft terms of reference for the study, to be provided to SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unclear how this information system would feed into current CITES workstreams or would be more useful to CITES than an analysis of trade in tree species using data in the CITES trade database, similar to the outputs for the Review of Significant Trade; the cost of the proposed feasibility study (US\$40,000) might be sufficient to fund an analysis of the CITES Trade Database in this regard.</li> <li>• SSN recommends that PC request that the terms of reference for the feasibility study be provided to the full Committee for comment, rather than only the Chair and Vice-Chair, prior to submission to SC.</li> </ul>
<p><b>►► 39. Informal review mechanism of existing and proposed annotations</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 39 / AC32 Doc. 44</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reports that the Secretariat: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is developing ToR for a proposal on the feasibility and requirements for an informal review mechanism for existing and proposed annotations;</li> <li>▪ Will submit a report to SC77; and</li> <li>▪ Invites AC/PC to designate focal points for the consultation with SC on the outcomes of the proposal.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN recommends that AC/PC note this document.</li> </ul>
<p><b>40. Orchid specimens exempted through annotation #4</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 40</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reports that a Notification will be sent to the Parties in time for PC27/SC78 requesting information on the implementation and/or conservation implications of the annotation #4 exemption for finished products packaged and ready for retail trade of cosmetics containing parts and derivatives of specimens of <i>Bletilla striata</i>, <i>Cycnoches cooperi</i>, <i>Gastrodia elata</i>, <i>Phalaenopsis amabilis</i> and <i>Phalaenopsis lobbii</i>.</li> <li>• Invites PC to take note of the document and the proposed timeframe for the publication of the Notification.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN recommends that PC note this document.</li> </ul>
<p><b>41. Annotation of Cape aloe (<i>Aloe ferox</i>)</b></p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 41</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides responses (Annexes 1-5) to a Notification regarding how the amended annotation #4 has impacted international trade in <i>Aloe ferox</i> specimens or its population size, distribution, status and harvest.</li> <li>• Invites PC to review the responses, assess whether the exemption for <i>Aloe ferox</i> as provided in paragraph f) of annotation #4 has had any impacts on the natural populations of the species, and formulate recommendations to CoP20.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>

ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
<b>42. Botanical and zoological nomenclature</b>		
<p>42.1</p> <p>►► Nomenclature of Appendix-III listings</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 42.1 / AC32 Doc. 45.1</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepared by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature and AC specialist on zoological nomenclature.</li> <li>• Notes that, over the years, the AC/PC nomenclature specialists have brought related changes to nomenclature to the attention of the AC/PC; such cases have generally been left 'pending' until an understanding was reached.</li> <li>• Proposes modified approaches to nomenclature changes in Appendix III: the Secretariat could consult the Party that listed the species in Appendix III as well as other affected range States or, the Secretariat could inform the Party that listed the species in the Appendices about the nomenclature change and implications (if there is a change in scope) and invite the Party to consult with affected range States and to submit a request to the Secretariat to amend the Appendix III listing to reflect the nomenclature change.</li> <li>• Invites AC/PC to review this document and make recommendations; and submit an updated version to the SC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN believes that this is a useful step forward and recommends that AC/PC review this document.</li> </ul>
<p>42.2</p> <p>►► Higher taxon listings in the Appendices</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 42.2 / AC32 Doc. 45.2</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepared by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature and AC specialist on zoological nomenclature.</li> <li>• Provides background information on this issue, including cases where complex issues have arisen including listings of pangolins, <i>Agalychnis</i> spp. and <i>Aloe</i> spp..</li> <li>• Invites AC/PC to establish a joint intersessional nomenclature working group to: review the scientific implications and impacts of existing and future higher taxon listings in the Appendices; and report to PC27/AC33.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN recommends that AC/PC establish the working group.</li> </ul>
<p>42.3</p> <p>►► Development of a standardized global checklist of species</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 42.3 / AC32 Doc. 45.3</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepared by nomenclature specialists of PC/AC, with assistance from the Secretariat.</li> <li>• Provides an update on engagement with Checklist Governance Working Group of the International Union of Biological Sciences and the World Flora Online Consortium.</li> <li>• Invites PC/AC to note this document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SSN recommends that the document be noted.</li> </ul>
<b>43. Report of the specialist on botanical nomenclature</b>		
<p>43.1</p> <p>Overview of flora nomenclature matters for the period CoP19-CoP20</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.1</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitted by the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>• Provides updates regarding standard nomenclature references, relevant Decisions (Annex), and the work plan of PC related to nomenclature.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides information on additional matters regarding nomenclature of: <i>Dipteryx oleifera</i>, genus <i>Bulnesia</i>, <i>Turbinicarpus xroeseiflorus</i> Backeb.</li> <li>Invites PC to note document and develop recommendations for the additional nomenclature issues mentioned.</li> </ul>	
43.2	<p>Nomenclature for aloes (<i>Aloe</i> spp.)</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.2</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Provides report on progress made, draft ToR for the development of the checklist for the genus <i>Aloe</i> (Annex 1), relevant elements of paragraph 5 of the Addendum to document PC25 Doc. 31 (Annex 2), and Annex to in-session document PC25 Com. 5 (Annex 3).</li> <li>Invites PC to note progress made and provide input on the draft ToR in Annex 1.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.3	<p>Cactaceae Checklist and its Supplement</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.3</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reports that the Secretariat published a Notification to invite Parties to inform the Secretariat of their experience in using the CITES Cactaceae Checklist (3rd edition) and its Supplement (2018); provides responses from Mexico (Annex 1a/1b) and United Kingdom (Annex 2), and relevant sections of PC24 Inf. 15 prepared by (UNEP-WCMC).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.4	<p>Nomenclature for ebonies (<i>Diospyros</i> spp.) (populations of Madagascar)</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.4</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Provides a report on progress regarding the drafting of an updated standard nomenclature reference for <i>Diospyros</i> spp. (populations of Madagascar), draft ToR for a related study (Annex 1), and related paragraph 8 of the Addendum to PC25 Doc. 31 (Annex 2).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.5	<p>Standard nomenclature for cumarus (<i>Dipteryx</i> spp.)</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.5</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Describes a number of nomenclature issues related to <i>Dipteryx</i> spp., including a related document, CoP19 Doc. 84.2 (Annex).</li> <li>Invites PC to consider the issues outlined, identify a suitable nomenclatural reference for amendment of the Annex to RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on Standard nomenclature, and formulate recommendations to CoP20.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.6	<p>Standard nomenclature for African mahoganies (<i>Khaya</i> spp.)</p> <p><b>PC26 Doc. 43.6</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Describes nomenclatural issues related to <i>Khaya</i> spp., including a related document, CoP19 Doc. 84.3 (Annex).</li> <li>Invites PC to consider the issues outlined, identify a suitable nomenclatural reference for amendment of the Annex to RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on Standard</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>

ISSUE		PROPOSED ACTION	SSN RECOMMENDATION
		nomenclature, and formulate recommendations to CoP20.	
43.7	Nomenclature for pachypodiums ( <i>Pachypodium</i> spp.)  <b>PC26 Doc. 43.7</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Reports that partial funding has been secured for the development of the checklist for the genus <i>Pachypodium</i> and provides draft ToR for this work.</li> <li>Invites PC to note progress made and provide input on the draft ToR.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.8	Standard nomenclature for roseroots ( <i>Rhodiola</i> spp.)  <b>PC26 Doc. 43.8</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Describes nomenclatural issues related to <i>Rhodiola</i> spp., including a related document, CoP19 Doc. 84.4 (Annex).</li> <li>Invites PC to consider the issues outlined, identify a suitable nomenclatural reference for amendment of the Annex to RC 12.11 (Rev. CoP19) on Standard nomenclature, and formulate recommendations to CoP20.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
43.9	Nomenclature for yews ( <i>Taxus</i> spp.)  <b>PC26 Doc. 43.9</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepared by the Secretariat in consultation with the PC specialist on botanical nomenclature.</li> <li>Estimates the cost of development of the checklist for the genus <i>Taxus</i>. at USD 80,000 and provides ToR for this study (Annex 1); also provides the related paragraph 10 of the addendum to PC25 Doc. 31 (Annex 2).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>44. Regional reports</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No documents.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>45. Any other business</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>46. Time and venue of PC27</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
<b>47. Closing remarks</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No document.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>



**Species Survival Network**  
 1255 23rd Street, NW, Suite 450  
 Washington DC 20037  
 SSN.org info@ssn.org